



AF

TFW 3622

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re patent application of:

Quinn H. Lipin

Serial No.: 09/779,538

Filed: February 9, 2001

For: GENERATING REVENUE THROUGH
USE OF AN INTERACTIVE COMPUTER
SYSTEM

)
)
) Group Art Unit: 3622
)
) Examiner: Gravini, Stephen M.
)
) Confirmation No.: 5759
)
)
)
)
)

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING BY FIRST CLASS MAIL
37 C.F.R. 1.8


Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

I hereby certify that the following item(s) is(are) being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail under 37 C.F.R. 1.8 on the date indicated below to the above address:

1. Amendment and Request for Reconsideration
2. Postal card receipt.

Quinn H. Lipin, Applicant


Marshall Rosenberg 34, 2003

June 3, 2006
Date of Deposit



PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re patent application of:)
)
Quinn H. Lipin) Group Art Unit: 3622
)
Serial No.: 09/779,538) Examiner: Retta, Yehdega
)
Filed: February 9, 2001) Confirmation No.: 5759
)
For: GENERATING REVENUE THROUGH)
USE OF AN INTERACTIVE COMPUTER)
SYSTEM)

AMENDMENT AND REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

In response to the Office Action dated April 6, 2006, please amend the above-referenced application as follows.

IN THE CLAIMS:

Claims 1-22, are pending in the above-referenced application. The abstract of the disclosure stands objected to, and claims 1-22 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, for failing to comply with the enablement requirement. Claims 1-22 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, for indefiniteness, the objection and rejections alleged for failing to define the term "clearinghouse". Claims 1-3 and 16-22 stand rejected under Section 103 as being unpatentable over Bezos et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 6,029,141). Claims 4-10 stand rejected under Section 103 as being unpatentable over Bezos et al. further in view of Gupta (U.S. Pat. No. 6,487,538). Claims 13-15 stand rejected under Section 103 as being unpatentable over Bezos et al. further in view of Tobin (U.S. Pat. No. 6,141,666).